SV40 DNA tumor virus makes large T antigen small t antigen



1979 Lane and Levine (Nature 278:261; Cell 17:43)

Hypothesis: T antigen usurped p53 activity for its own replication and proliferation

In vitro transformation assays

Normal rat embryo fibroblasts

Some similarities between Myc and p53 nuclear proteins DNA binding proteins





Oren, Rotter, Jenkins Nature 312:646 (1985)

Problems with transformation assays

- p53 plasmids had different sequences
- not all p53 plasmids cooperated with Ras

What is the real sequence of p53? Is it transformation competent?

		Ras	+	mut p53	=	foci
Wt p53	+	Ras	+	mut p53	=	no foci
		Ras	+	Мус	=	foci
Wt p53	+	Ras	+	Мус	=	no foci

Levine Cell 57:1083 (1989)

Additional data for p53 function as a tumor suppressor

Colorectal cancers – progress in discrete steps

Loss of Heterozygosity

Definition of a tumor suppressor mutate one allele lose the other ie: absence of normal protein Knudsen's two hit hypothesis

Mutations in p53 identified Science 244:217 www.p53.iarc.fr/index.html



A p53 null mouse

- viable
- loss of one or both p53 alleles gives a tumor phenotype p53+/- mice median age of survival 18 months p53-/- mice median age of survival 6 months lymphomas sarcomas
 loss of p52 cooperates with other alterations to
- loss of p53 cooperates with other alterations to increase tumor incidence
- genetic background makes a difference
- caloric restriction and fasting delay tumorigenesis in p53+/mice

Nature 356:215 (1992) Current Biology 4:1 (1994)

Li-Fraumeni Syndrome

- an inherited predisposition to cancer
- various kinds of cancers occur: osteosarcomas, soft tissue sarcomas, breast cancer
- multiple tumors in the same individual
- 70% of families inherit a mutation in p53 Science 250:1233; Nature 348:747 (1990)



LC Strong

Cumulative Lung Cancer Risk By P53 mutation and Smoking Status



Log-Rank χ² =241, d.f.=3, P<0.001 Hum. Genet., 113:238



= CANCER

p53 DNA binding sequence

Consensus	RRRCWWGYYY	RRRCWWGYYY
p21	GAACATGTCC	cAACATGTTg
Mdm2	GGtCAAGTTg	GGACAcGTCC
	AGCTAAGTCC	tGACATGTCT
Cyclin G	AGACcTGCCC	GGGCAAGCCT
	AcGCAAGCCC	GGGCTAGTCT

http://linkage.rockefeller.edu/p53/ PNAS 99(13)8467 (2002)

p53 activates

Cell cycle regulatory genes p21/WAF1/Cip1, GADD45, cyclin G

Apoptosis genes Bax, Puma, Perp, Noxa, pigs

Regulators of itself Mdm2, Cop1, Pirh2

p53 represses

AFP, tubulin



p53 is a DNA damage response protein "the guardian of the genome"

Ultraviolet light Ionizing radiation Drugs (cisplatin, adriamycin) Hypoxia Oncogene activation Ribosomal stress Transfection

Increase p53 protein stability and activity

p53 mutations





A Li-Fraumeni p53R172H knock in mouse model



Primary tumor

Metastases

Cell 119:861

Transformation of *p53*^{*R172H*} MEFs with Ha-ras^{V12}



Summary of Gain-of-Function Studies

- Tumors from p53^{R172H/+} mice metastasize while those from p53^{+/-} mice rarely do
- p53R172H binds and functionally inactivates p63 and p73 in tumor cell lines
- Down-modulation of *p*63 and *p*73 in *p*53^{-/-} MEFs enhances transformation potential similar to *p*53^{R172H/R172H} MEFs

Lang et al., Cell 119:861

No differences in survival between *p53* null and mutant alleles



Tumors do not necessarily have stable p53

р53^{R172H/H}



p53H/H p53H/+ 79% IHC pos 70% 21% IHC neg 30%

Normal tissues of homozygous mutant mice have unstable p53R172H



Brain Pancreas Kidney Lung

Terzian et al., Genes & Dev 22:1337

Mdm2 - p53 Mdm4 -

The Mdm2-null mouse





Mdm2^{-/-}

Mdm2^{-/-} p53^{-/-}

Montes de Oca Luna et al., Nature 378:203

Mdm2 — p53

Mdm2 — p53R172H ?

Loss of *Mdm2* stabilizes mutant p53

р53^{Н/Н}



Spleen thymus bone marrow intestine

p53 ^{*H/H} <i>Mdm2*^{-/-}</sup>

$p53^{H/H}$ vs $Mdm2^{-/-}p53^{H/H}$



A metastasis phenotype in $p53^{H/H} M dm2^{-/-}$ mice

Tumor types

sarcomas mets

р53 ^{Н/Н}	30%	0
$p53^{H/H}Mdm2^{-/-}$	30%	30%

Mutant p53 is stabilized in response to IR

Doxorubicin stabilizes mutant p53

Thymus

ROS stabilizes mutant p53

p53^{R172H/R172H} mice

Suh et al., Cancer Research 71:7168

Conclusions

- Mutant p53 is inherently unstable
- Tumor specific alterations stabilize mutant p53
- Once stabilized, mutant p53 shows GOF phenotypes
- Mutant p53 stability is regulated like wild-type p53

Mechanisms of mutant p53 GOF

- Inhibition of the p63 and p73 tumor suppressors
- Integrin recycling
- Interactions with other transcription factors
 - SREBP
 - Vit D receptor
 - ETS2

Mevalonate pathway

Increased *Pla2g16* expression in *p53*^{*R172H/+*} osteosarcomas

Xiong et al., unpublished

Lipid metabolism

Phosphatidylcholine PC lysophosphatidylcholine lysophosphatidic acid LPA free fatty acid

Pla2g16 contributes to migration and invasion

Flag tagged Pla2g16 contributes to increased invasion in *p53*-null osteosarcomas cells

Pla2g16 contributes to migration and invasion in human osteosarcoma cells

Increased *Pla2g16* expression in *p53*^{*R172H/+*} breast carcinomas

p53 binds Ets2 at the Pla2g16 promoter

Conclusions

Pla2g16 is highly expressed in mutant p53R172H metastatic osteosarcomas and breast carcinomas as compared to p53+/- non-metastatic tumors

Down modulation of Pla2g16 in p53R172H tumor cell lines decreased migration and invasion properties

Addition of Pla2G16 in *p*53-null tumor cell lines increases migration and invasion properties

Mutant p53 interacts with ETS2 at the *Pla2g16* Promoter to drive expression of *Pla2g16*

Model of mutant p53 GOF effects in tumor development

Paradigm of p53 and chemotherapy response

p53 in breast cancer

- p53 is mutated in 20% to 35% of breast cancers
- when WT, thought to contribute to drug response
 - Conflicting literature
 - Detection of "mutant" p53 status inaccurately and inconsistently determined (IHC, sequencing)
 - Treatment regimens are highly variable
 - Criteria used to assess response are highly variable
 - Studies include different clinical subtypes of breast cancer

Genetic model for examining p53 and response in BC

Jackson et al., Cancer Cell 21:793

p53 WT MMTV Wnt breast tumors respond to doxorubicin but eventually relapse

Superior response to doxorubicin treatment in p53 mutant tumors

Tumor genotype	p53 wild type	p53 mutant	
% Tumor vol lost	35.6 ± 5.2	68.0 ± 4.0	p<0.001
	n=22	n=9	
Days to relapse	11.8 ± 1.1	24.2 ± 2.9	p<0.001
	n=21	n=5	

Does LOH status of p53 predict response?

MMTV Wnt p53^{H/+}

Response of p53 heterozygous tumors is dependent on loss of WT allele

No induction of apoptosis in MMTV Wnt tumors

Growth arrest in MMTV Wnt p53 WT tumors following doxorubicin treatment

Control

Dox treated

Stably arrested MMTV Wnt tumors are positive for SAβGal Activity

SAβGal activity in treated *MMTV Wnt* transplanted tumors

Stably arrested doxorubicin treated MMTV Wnt p53 WT tumors are positive for SASP effectors

Model

MMTV Wnt p53 mutant tumors do not arrest following doxorubicin treatment

p53 WT

p53 Mut

Control

Dox treated

Acute induction of apoptosis in MMTV Wnt p53 mutant tumors

Cleaved Caspase 3

TUNEL

MCF-7 (p53 WT)

Untreated

Doxorubicin

Model

